

WWW.FULBRIGHT.COM

PAGE 1/2 * RCVD AT 6/7/2004 5:41:29 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVR:USPTO-EFXRF-2/2 * DNIS:7469463 * CSID:214 855 8200 * DURATION (mm:ss):01-06

Docket No.: 47524/P102US/09901295
(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:
Michael J. Polcyn

Confirmation no.: 7741

Application No.: 09/689,481

Art Unit: 2645

Filed: October 12, 2000

Examiner: M. S. Elahee

For: RESOURCE MANAGEMENT UTILIZING
QUANTIFIED RESOURCE ATTRIBUTES

ISSUES FOR DISCUSSION

Examiner Elahee

Dear Sir:

In response to the Examiner's request for the issues to be discussed in the upcoming phone interview of June 15, 2004, Applicant presents the following brief summary thereof:

Each of the independent claims recites either "quantify" or "quantifying." Applicants assert that the phrase should be read in light of the definition given in the specification at page 15, lines 7-9. Such an interpretation is consistent with M.P.E.P. §2111.01, which specifies how such phrases should be interpreted when deciding patentability. Amending the claims to add language that tracks the definition in the specification would add neither new matter nor new issues. Further, the cited art does not teach or suggest such feature.